arXiv:hep-th/0411007v2 9 Nov 2004

SIMPLE CURRENT ACTIONS OF CYCLIC GROUPS

TAMAS VARGA

Abstract. Permutation actions of simple currents on the primaries of a Rational Conformal Field Theory are considered in the framework of admissible weighted permutation actions. The solution of admissibility conditions is presented for cyclic quadratic groups: an irreducible WPA corresponds to each subgroup of the quadratic group. As a consequence, the primaries of a RCFT with an order n integral or half-integral spin simple current may be arranged into multiplets of length k^2 (where k is a divisor of n) or $3k^2$ if the spin of the simple current is half-integral and k is odd.

1. Introduction

The construction of correlation functions from conformal blocks is restricted in a Rational Conformal Field Theory by requiring the invariance of physical quantities under the action of mapping class groups [1, 2]. The most important case is that of the torus partition function - it has to be an invariant of the representation of the modular group $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ on the characters of the theory. The modular representation is determined by matrices S and T (indexed by the primaries of the theory) corresponding to modular transformations $\tau \to -1/\tau$ and $\tau \to \tau+1$, respectively. S is symmetric, unitary, its square is the charge conjugation matrix, and T is a finite order diagonal matrix. Symmetries of the modular representation turned out to be the most effective tool in constructing modular invariant partition functions. One of these symmetries is provided by simple currents [3, 4, 5, 6, 9] - the corresponding simple current modular invariants yield most of the known modular invariant partition functions [7].

Simple currents are primary fields whose fusion with any primary field contains only one term, i.e. whose fusion matrix is a permutation matrix

$$N_{\alpha p}^{q} = \Pi(\alpha)_p^q = \delta_{\alpha p}^{q}. \tag{1}$$

Other equivalent definitions of simple currents could be used: they are the primaries whose fusion with their charge conjugate gives the vacuum alone, or, in unitary theories, the primaries with quantum dimension $d_p = S_{p0}/S_{00} = 1$. It follows from the associativity and commutativity of the fusion ring that they form an abelian group under fusion, known as the the simple current group, or the center of the fusion ring. The fusion of simple currents with other primaries of the theory gives a permutation action of the simple current group on the set of primaries.

It is known from Verlinde's theorem [8] that fusion matrices are diagonalized by S, which applied on (1) yields

$$S_{\alpha p}^{q} = \theta(q,\alpha) S_{p}^{q}, \tag{2}$$

where the complex number $\theta(q,\alpha)$ is the (exponentialized) monodromy charge of the primary q with respect to the simple current α. The above equation is a symmetry of the S matrix, which relates its rows in the same orbit of the permutation α. In fact, it can be shown using Verlinde's theorem and unitarity, that if α is a permutation satisfying (2), then $\alpha 0$ is a simple current, where 0 denotes the vacuum. Therefore, these symmetries of the S matrix are in one-to-one correspondence with simple currents and are called simple current symmetries.

Simple currents have various other uses besides the already mentioned simple current modular invariants: they are used in the GSO projection in string theory [12], through simple current extension they allow us to construct a new vertex operator algebra and modular representation [10, 11] from a known one, and they are also used in the determination of the projective kernel of modular representation [13]. In most of these applications the monodromy charges defined in (2) play an important role, but hardly any more properties of the modular representation are needed. This suggests to consider simple currents and monodromy charges separately from the modular representation - that approach lead in [6] to the introduction of weighted permutation actions.

The monodromy charges can be expressed using the conformal weights Δ_p and the central charge of the theory c as follows. Let $\omega(p)$ denote the diagonal entry of T corresponding to the primary field p

$$\omega(p) = T_{p}^{p} = exp(2\pi i(\Delta_p - \frac{c}{24})),$$

and let $\vartheta(\alpha) = \omega(\alpha)/\omega(0) = exp(2\pi i \Delta_\alpha)$. Using (2) and the modular relation $STS = T^{-1}ST^{-1}$ one may express $\theta(p,\alpha)$ in terms of ω as

$$\theta(p,\alpha) = \frac{\omega(p)\omega(\alpha)}{\omega(\alpha p)\omega(0)} = \frac{\omega(p)}{\omega(\alpha p)}\vartheta(\alpha). \tag{3}$$

The above equation shows that the monodromies may be replaced by the weight function ω, which turns out to be more suitable for the description of simple currents. It follows from (2) that $\theta(p,\alpha\beta) = \theta(p,\alpha)\theta(p,\beta)$, and substituting the above expression for $\theta(p,\alpha)$ yields

$$\frac{\omega(\alpha p)\omega(\beta p)}{\omega(p)\omega(\alpha\beta p)} = \frac{\vartheta(\alpha)\vartheta(\beta)}{\vartheta(\alpha\beta)}. \tag{4}$$

If p is chosen to be a simple current γ, one obtains the following equality for ϑ

$$\vartheta(\alpha\beta)\vartheta(\beta\gamma)\vartheta(\gamma\alpha) = \vartheta(\alpha)\vartheta(\beta)\vartheta(\gamma)\vartheta(\alpha\beta\gamma). \tag{5}$$

In a unitary theory one may also show that

$$\vartheta(\alpha^n) = \vartheta(\alpha)^{n^2}. \tag{6}$$

These two properties define ϑ to be a *quadratic form* (written multiplicatively) on the abelian group of simple currents G, and the pair (G, ϑ) is called a *quadratic group.* A *weighted permutation action (WPA)* of a quadratic group (G, ϑ) is a pair (X, ω) such that the group G acts by permutations on the finite set X, and the function $\omega : X \mapsto \mathbb{C}^*$ satisfies (4). X is called the support of the WPA, ω its weight function, and $|X|$ the degree of the WPA.

These results give a mathematical formalization of simple current symmetries: the group of simple currents together with $\vartheta = exp(2\pi i\Delta_\alpha)$ is a quadratic group and its permutation action on the set of primaries together with $\omega(p)$ is a weighted permutation action of it. The latter is called the simple current WPA associated to the RCFT.

Weighted permutation actions can be completely classified in terms of coset WPA-s - the details are given in the next section. Once we know the classification, it is natural to ask: what characterizes those WPA-s that are associated to some RCFT. In [6] three necessary conditions were found, and the WPA-s satisfying these were called admissible. The solution of the admissibility conditions for a given quadratic group amounts to finding a finite number of irreducible WPA-s. This can be done in principle for any quadratic group, but the complexity of the problem grows rapidly. Therefore, the solution is known only for the most trivial quadratic groups: e.g. prime order cyclic groups or some abelian groups of low order. Our aim is to present the solution for cyclic quadratic groups. Note that not all of these solutions is realized by some RCFT. Nevertheless, it provides us with non-trivial information about what the primary field content (including the differences of conformal weights in the same orbit) and simple current action *may* be in any RCFT. This would allow us, among others, to describe the possible modular invariants of cyclic simple current groups. Moreover, for some WPA-s, the corresponding simple current symmetry (2), together with non-linear equations like unitarity or $S^2 = C$, completely determines the modular representation.

In the next section we shall shortly review some results of [6] in order to formulate the admissibility conditions. In Section 3 we give examples of admissible WPA-s. In particular, Example 3 provides a general construction of an irreducible WPA corresponding to each subgroup of a quadratic group. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1, whose immediate consequence is that in the case of cyclic quadratic groups the irreducibles of Example 3 exhaust the set of all irreducible WPA-s.

2. Admissible weighted permutation actions

Let us review some elements of the theory of permutation actions that may be generalized for WPA-s. A WPA is called transitive if it consist of a single G orbit. Given two WPA-s (X_1, ω_1) and (X_2, ω_2), it is straightforward to define their direct sum, whose support is the set $X_1 \cup X_2$. Two WPA-s (X, ω) and (X', ω') are considered equivalent if they are equivalent as permutation actions, and if their weight functions differ by a factor which is locally constant on G orbits - note that such rescalings are allowed by (4).

The radical of (G, ϑ) is the quadratic group $(\sqrt{G}, \sqrt{\vartheta})$ where $\sqrt{G} = \{\alpha \in G| \vartheta(\alpha, \beta) = \vartheta(\alpha)\vartheta(\beta)\ \forall \beta \in G\}$ and $\sqrt{\vartheta}$ is the restriction of ϑ to $\sqrt{G}$. It follows from the fact that $\sqrt{\vartheta}$ is both a character and a quadratic form on $\sqrt{G}$ that the allowed values of $\sqrt{\vartheta}$ are ± 1. $\sqrt{\vartheta}(\alpha) = -1$ is allowed only if α is of even order.

Transitive WPA-s may be classified up to equivalence as follows. Let ξ be a character of $\sqrt{G}$ and H a subgroup of $ker(\xi\sqrt{\vartheta})$. Further, let X be the coset space $X = G/H$ on which G acts by left translations and $\omega(\alpha H) = \vartheta(\alpha)/\xi^*(\alpha)$, where ξ^* is any character of G whose restriction to $\sqrt{G}$ equals ξ. Then (X, ω) is a transitive WPA of (G, ϑ) called a coset WPA, whose equivalence class shall be denoted as $\mathcal{W}[H, \xi]$. The coset WPA is well defined and is determined by ξ - different choices for ξ^* lead to equivalent WPA-s. With this classification of transitive actions we may always write a WPA $\Phi = (X, \omega)$ as a direct sum of coset WPA-s

$$\Phi = \bigoplus_{i \in I} n_i \mathcal{W}[H_i, \xi_i]. \tag{7}$$

Therefore, a WPA is determined by the non-negative integer multiplicities n_i up to equivalence.

The coset WPA $R = \mathcal{W}[1, \xi_0]$, where 1 is the trivial subgroup and ξ_0 is the trivial character of G, is called the regular WPA. The importance of the regular WPA lies in the fact that it represents the transitive component containing the vacuum in any simple current WPA.

There is another numerical characterization of WPA-s. To each WPA we may associate the monomial matrices

$$Y(\alpha, \beta)_q^p = \vartheta(\beta) \frac{\omega(q)}{\omega(\beta q)} \delta_p^{\alpha p}, \tag{8}$$

where $p, q \in X$. They satisfy the multiplication rule

$$Y(\alpha_1,\beta_1)Y(\alpha_2,\beta_2) = \frac{\vartheta(\alpha_2)\vartheta(\beta_1)}{\vartheta(\alpha_2\beta_1)}Y(\alpha_1\alpha_2,\beta_1\beta_2), \tag{9}$$

i.e. they form a projective representation of $G \times G$. If the WPA is associated to some RCFT, then the commutation rule of $Y(\alpha,\beta)$ with M representing the $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ element $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ on the space of genus 1 holomorphic blocks is

$$M^{-1}Y(\alpha,\beta)M = \frac{\vartheta(\alpha)^{b(c-a)}\vartheta(\beta)^{c(b-d)}}{\vartheta(\alpha\beta)^{bc}}Y(\alpha^a\beta^c,\alpha^b\beta^d). \tag{10}$$

The trace of $Y(\alpha,\beta)$ gives a useful numerical description of the equivalence classes of WPA-s

$$\Upsilon_\Phi(\alpha,\beta) = TrY(\alpha,\beta) = \vartheta(\beta)\sum_{p\in Fix_\Phi(\alpha)}\frac{\omega(p)}{\omega(\beta p)}, \tag{11}$$

where $Fix_\Phi(\alpha)$ is the subset of the support of Φ whose elements are fixed by α. The value of $\Upsilon_\Phi(\alpha,\beta)$ is zero unless $\alpha,\beta \in \sqrt{G}$, so it is in fact a function on the set $\sqrt{G}\times\sqrt{G}$. For the coset WPA $\Phi = \mathcal{W}[H,\xi]$ it is given as

$$\Upsilon_\Phi(\alpha,\beta) = \begin{cases} \xi(\beta)[G:H] & \text{if } \alpha\in H, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases} \tag{12}$$

For simple current WPA-s this function is related to the so-called commutator cocycle $\phi_p(\alpha,\beta)$ (see [11]) as $\Upsilon(\alpha,\beta) = \sum_p \phi_p(\alpha,\beta)$. This fact, together with the commutation rule (10), implies that simple current WPA-s possess three additional properties, given in [6]. *Galois invariance* of Φ means that in its decomposition (7) the multiplicities of transitives $\mathcal{W}[H,\xi]$ and $\mathcal{W}[H,\xi^l]$ are equal for all l coprime to the exponent of G. *Reciprocity* requires $\Upsilon_\Phi(\alpha,\beta) = \Upsilon_\Phi(\beta,\alpha)$ for all $\alpha,\beta\in G$. Finally, *boundedness* is the property that $|\Upsilon_\Phi(\alpha,\beta)| \leq |Fix_\Phi(\alpha)\cap Fix_\Phi(\beta)|$. WPA-s satisfying Galois invariance, reciprocity and boundedness are called admissible.

Let us mention some interesting consequences of the admissibility conditions. It follows from both Galois invariance or reciprocity of Φ that the values of Υ_Φ are integers. Galois invariance and reciprocity implies that the expression $\vartheta(\alpha)\vartheta(\beta)\Upsilon_\Phi(\alpha,\beta)$ depends only on the subgroup $\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle$ generated by α and β (see Proposition 1). Consequently, if Φ is admissible, then Υ_Φ may be regarded as an integer valued function on the set of subgroups of $\sqrt{G}$ generated by at most two elements.

While the admissibility conditions have such non-trivial consequences, they are also simple enough for practical use - being just linear equalities and inequalities in terms of the multiplicities n_i if Φ is written as in (7). Linearity implies that the direct sum of admissible WPA-s is again admissible. Thus, one defines *irreducible* WPA-s as the admissible WPA-s that cannot be written as a (non-trivial) direct sum of admissible WPA-s. The problem of finding admissible WPA-s is then reduced to the problem of finding irreducible WPA-s. Moreover, as another consequence of the linearity, there is only a finite number of irreducible WPA-s for any quadratic group (G, ϑ). The last important step in the classification is that the irreducible WPA-s of (G, ϑ) are in one-to-one correspondence with those of $(\sqrt{G}, \sqrt{\vartheta})$. Therefore, it is enough consider only fully degenerate quadratic groups, i.e. quadratic groups with $\sqrt{G} = G$.

Although it is principle possible to find irreducible WPA-s of any quadratic group (G, ϑ), in practice the number of irreducible WPA-s - and thus the length of the computation - grows dramatically with the number of non-cyclic subgroups of G. (A possible explanation of this is given after Proposition 2.) Therefore, there are only a few examples where this has been carried out. In the next section we give a few examples of admissible WPA-s, which will aid us later in the the solution of admissibility conditions for cyclic quadratic groups.

3. Examples

In the following (G, ϑ) denotes a completely degenerate quadratic group. Recall that in this case ϑ is a ± 1 valued character of G. Let us also introduce some notation: 1 denotes the trivial subgroup in any group, ξ_0 the trivial character, and R the regular WPA $\mathcal{W}[1, \xi_0]$.

Example 1. Let us first consider admissible WPA-s of lowest possible degree. The one point transitive $M = \mathcal{W}[G, \vartheta]$ has, according to (12), $\Upsilon_M(\alpha, \beta) = \vartheta(\beta)$. The reciprocity condition is satisfied if and only if $\vartheta = \xi_0$. Otherwise, let

$$M = \mathcal{W}[G, \vartheta] \oplus \mathcal{W}[ker\vartheta, \xi_0]. \tag{13}$$

Using (12) and $[G : ker\vartheta] = 2$, one obtains

$$\Upsilon_M(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } \vartheta(\alpha) = \vartheta(\beta) = 1 \\ -1 & \text{if } \vartheta(\alpha) = \vartheta(\beta) = -1 \\ 1 & \text{if } \vartheta(\alpha) \neq \vartheta(\beta). \end{cases}$$

Therefore, M is an admissible WPA of degree 3 (or degree 1 if $\vartheta = \xi_0$), and it is called the minimal admissible WPA of (G, ϑ). The admissible WPA associated to the Ising model is the minimal admissible WPA of $(\mathbb{Z}_2, \xi_1)$, where ξ_1 is the non-trivial character of $\mathbb{Z}_2$. However, if $|G| > 2$, the minimal admissible WPA does not contain R, and may not correspond to a simple current WPA.

Example 2. Let us consider the group $G \times \widehat{G}$, where $\widehat{G}$ is the group of homomorphisms from G to $\mathbb{C}^*$, and introduce the natural quadratic form

$$\vartheta(\alpha, \phi) = \phi(\alpha). \tag{14}$$

The quadratic group $(G \times \widehat{G}, \vartheta)$ is non-degenerate, $\sqrt{G \times \widehat{G}}=1$, therefore its only transitive WPA is the regular action R (of degree $|G|^2$, with $\omega(\alpha, \phi) = \vartheta(\alpha, \phi)$), which is consequently admissible. This is, actually, the quadratic group and the simple current WPA associated to the holomorphic G orbifold model [14]. It is easy to see that $\Upsilon_R = 0$ except $\Upsilon_R((1, \xi_0), (1, \xi_0)) = |G|^2$.

Since we are interested in admissible WPA-s of completely degenerate quadratic groups, let us restrict the simple current group to the subgroup $G \simeq G \times \{\xi_0\}$. On this subgroup the quadratic form (14) becomes trivial, thus we arrive at the completely degenerate quadratic group (G, ξ_0). In terms of the restricted quadratic group, the regular action branches into orbits of the form $X_\phi = G \times \{\phi\}$. It is clear that X_Φ falls in the equivalence class of $\mathcal{W}[1, \phi]$, therefore R branches into the following WPA of (G, ξ_0)

$$R' = \bigoplus_{\phi \in \widehat{G}} \mathcal{W}[1, \phi]. \tag{15}$$

Note that $\Upsilon_{R'}(\alpha, \beta) = \Upsilon_R((\alpha, \xi_0), (\beta, \xi_0)) = |G|^2 \delta_{\alpha,1} \delta_{\beta,1}$ since the elements we have removed from the quadratic group had no fixed points in the regular action, thus did not give a contribution to Υ_R (see 11). Therefore, R' satisfies the reciprocity and boundedness conditions. Galois invariance is obvious from (15), so we may conclude that R' is an admissible WPA. Finally, it is easy to see that the direct sum (15) defines an admissible WPA even if the quadratic group is (G, ϑ), where ϑ is any fully degenerate quadratic form.

Example 3. The last example is the combination of the previous ones into a general form. For a subgroup $H < G$ let H_0 denote $H \bigcap ker\vartheta$. As a generalization of (13) and (15) let

$$\mathcal{A}[H] = \left(\bigoplus_{\phi \in \widehat{G}, H < ker(\vartheta\phi)} \mathcal{W}[H, \phi] \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\phi \in \widehat{G}, H_0 < ker(\phi)} \mathcal{W}[H_0, \phi] \right), \tag{16}$$

if $H \neq H_0$. If $H = H_0$ the two terms in (16) are equal and only one of them is needed. With this notation Example 1 corresponds to $\mathcal{A}[G]$ and Example 2 to $\mathcal{A}[1]$. It can be shown using (16) and (12) that $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{A}[H]}(\alpha, \beta) = [G : H]^2 \Upsilon_M(\alpha, \beta)$ if $\alpha, \beta \in H$, and is zero otherwise. The boundedness condition is satisfied by $\mathcal{A}[H]$ as an equality: $|\Upsilon_{\mathcal{A}[H]}(\alpha, \beta)| = |Fix(\alpha) \cap Fix(\beta)|$. Galois invariance can be seen from (16), therefore $\mathcal{A}[H]$ is admissible. It is called the admissible coset WPA corresponding to the subgroup H, since this construction is the simplest way to extend the coset WPA $\mathcal{W}[H, \xi]$ (with arbitrary ξ) to an admissible WPA. The aim of the next section is to prove Theorem 1, which shows the important role played by admissible coset WPA-s.

4. Admissible coset WPA-s

Let us first consider properties of the function $\Upsilon_\Phi(\alpha,\beta)$ associated to an admissible WPA $\Phi=(X,\omega)$. Admissibility requires it to be integer valued and symmetric in α and β but a stronger requirement can be given.

Proposition 1. *If Φ is a WPA of the completely degenerate quadratic group (G,ϑ) satisfying Galois invariance and reciprocity, then $\vartheta(\alpha)\vartheta(\beta)\Upsilon_\Phi(\alpha,\beta)$ depends only on the subgroup $\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle$ generated by α and β.*

Proof. Reciprocity requires $\vartheta(\alpha)\vartheta(\beta)\Upsilon_\Phi(\alpha,\beta)$ to be invariant under $(\alpha,\beta)\to(\beta,\alpha)$. If one takes into account that $\Upsilon_\Phi(\alpha,\beta)$ is non-zero only for $\alpha,\beta\in\sqrt{G}$, then it is easy to show using (11) that $\vartheta(\alpha)\vartheta(\beta)\Upsilon_\Phi(\alpha,\beta)$ is also invariant under $(\alpha,\beta)\to(\alpha,\alpha\beta)$. These transformations together generate any base change in the subgroup $\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle$. □

Therefore, to an admissible WPA Φ we may associate a function $\Upsilon_\Phi(\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle)=\vartheta(\alpha)\vartheta(\beta)\Upsilon_\Phi(\alpha,\beta)$ defined on the subgroups of $\sqrt{G}$ generated by at most two elements. For the admissible coset action $\mathcal{A}[H]$ the form of this function depends on whether $H<ker\vartheta$:

$$\Upsilon_{\mathcal{A}[H]}(K)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc} H<ker\vartheta & H\not<ker\vartheta & \\ [G:H]^2 & 3[G:H]^2 & \text{if } K<H_0 \\ - & [G:H]^2 & \text{if } K\not<H_0 \text{ but } K<H \\ 0 & 0 & \text{if } K\not<H, \end{array}\right. \tag{17}$$

where $H_0=H\cap ker\vartheta$.

The boundedness condition requires the absolute value of Υ_Φ to be bounded by the fixed point function of the permutation action corresponding to the WPA. For cyclic subgroups this is a consequence of reciprocity and Galois invariance, as one may show using Proposition 1.

Proposition 2. *Let Φ be a WPA satisfying reciprocity and Galois invariance. Then $\Upsilon_\Phi(\langle\alpha\rangle)=\vartheta(\alpha)|Fix(\alpha)|$.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 1 that $\Upsilon_\Phi(\langle\alpha\rangle)$ is meaningful. According to (11)

$$\Upsilon_\Phi(\langle\alpha\rangle)=\vartheta(\alpha)\Upsilon_\Phi(\alpha,1)=\vartheta(\alpha)\sum_{p\in Fix(\alpha)}\frac{\omega(p)}{\omega(p)}=\vartheta(\alpha)|Fix(\alpha)|.$$

□

This Proposition shows that independent inequalities following from the boundedness condition correspond to non-cyclic subgroups of $\sqrt{G}$ generated by two elements. If we would consider only cyclic quadratic groups then, according to the previous Proposition, we could omit the requirement of boundedness in the definition of admissible WPA-s. This simplifies the problem of finding irreducible admissible WPA-s to a great extent. However, we shall not restrict ourselves to cyclic quadratic groups yet, since it is possible to formulate our main result in a slightly more general setting. We call an admissible WPA (X, ω) of (G, ϑ) cyclic if its stabilizer subgroups $G_p < G$ $(p \in X)$ are all cyclic.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that Φ is a cyclic admissible WPA of (G, ϑ). Then Φ is (equivalent to) a direct sum of admissible coset actions*

$$\Phi = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} n_\alpha \mathcal{A}[\langle \alpha \rangle].$$

Proof. Let us consider $\Upsilon_\Phi(H)$ for a cyclic admissible Φ. First, $Fix_\Phi(H) = \emptyset$ if H is not cyclic, and boundedness requires that also $\Upsilon_\Phi(H) = 0$. Together with Proposition 2 this means that for cyclic admissible WPA-s the boundedness condition is satisfied as an equality: $|\Upsilon_\Phi(H)| = |Fix_\Phi(H)|$ $(\forall H < G)$.

Now, suppose that $K < G$ is a subgroup which is maximal with the property $\Upsilon_\Phi(K) \neq 0$, i.e. for any $H > K$ but $H \neq K$ $\Upsilon_\Phi(H) = 0$. As we have seen, such a subgroup is necessarily cyclic, let $K = \langle \alpha \rangle$. Our aim is to show that then $\Phi = \Phi_1 \oplus \mathcal{A}[\langle \alpha \rangle]$ where Φ_1 is admissible (and clearly also cyclic). This would prove the theorem by induction on the degree of Φ.

Let us consider transitive components of Φ contributing to $\Upsilon_\Phi(\alpha, \cdot)$. According to (12) a transitive component $\mathcal{W}[H_i, \xi_i]$ contributes to $\Upsilon_\Phi(\alpha, \cdot)$ only if $\alpha \in H_i$. The maximality of $\langle \alpha \rangle$ and $|\Upsilon_\Phi(H)| = |Fix(H)|$ $(\forall H < G)$ implies that if $\alpha \in H_i$, then $H_i = \langle \alpha \rangle$. Thus, we may write Φ as $\Phi = \Phi_0 \oplus \Psi$, where $\Psi = \bigoplus_{k \in K} m_k \mathcal{W}[\langle \alpha \rangle, \xi_k]$ and $\Upsilon_{\Phi_0}(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ $(\forall \beta \in G)$. Let us consider $\Psi = \bigoplus_{k \in K} m_k \mathcal{W}[\langle \alpha \rangle, \xi_k]$. By the definition of transitive actions $\xi_k(\alpha) = \vartheta(\alpha)$ $(\forall k \in K)$. Furthermore, if $\beta \notin \langle \alpha \rangle$, then $0 = \Upsilon_\Psi(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{k \in K} m_k \xi_k(\beta)$, where the first equation follows from the maximality of $\langle \alpha \rangle$, and the second from (12) . This allows us to express m_k using the orthogonality of characters as

$$m_k = \sum_{\beta \in G} \Upsilon_\Psi(\alpha, \beta) \overline{\xi_k(\beta)} = \sum_{\beta \in \langle \alpha \rangle} \Upsilon_\Psi(\alpha, \beta) \overline{\vartheta(\beta)} =: n.$$

Note that n does not depend on ξ_k, thus

$$\Psi = n \bigoplus_{\xi \in \widehat{G}, \xi(\alpha) = \vartheta(\alpha)} \mathcal{W}[\langle \alpha \rangle, \xi]. \tag{18}$$

In the case $\langle \alpha \rangle \in \ker \vartheta$ the above is exactly $\Psi = n\mathcal{A}[\langle \alpha \rangle]$, and it only remains to show that Φ_0 is admissible. Since Φ and Ψ satisfy reciprocity and Galois invariance, also does Φ_0. It follows from the inequality $|\Upsilon_{\Phi_0}(K)| \leq |\Upsilon_\Phi(K)| + |\Upsilon_\Psi(K)|$ that

$\Upsilon_{\Phi_0}(K) = 0$ if K is not cyclic. For cyclic subgroups the boundedness condition is satisfied by Proposition 2, therefore Φ_0 is admissible.

It remains to finish the proof for $\langle\alpha\rangle \notin \ker\vartheta$. In that case

$$n\mathcal{A}[\langle\alpha\rangle] = \Psi \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\xi\in\widehat{G},\xi(\alpha)=1} n\mathcal{W}[ker\vartheta,\xi] \right) = \Psi \oplus \Psi_1. \tag{19}$$

Therefore, we have to show that $\Phi_0 = \Phi_1 \oplus \Psi_1$, with Ψ_1 as above and Φ_1 admissible. The argument is similar as before. Note that we may choose α such that $\ker\vartheta \cap \langle\alpha\rangle = \langle\alpha^2\rangle$ (recall that ϑ is a ±1 valued character). We may repeat the argument given at the beginning of the proof to show that the only transitive components of Φ_0 contributing to $\Upsilon_{\Phi_0}(\alpha,\alpha^2)$ are of type $\mathcal{W}[\langle\alpha^2\rangle,\xi_k]$ and $\xi_k(\alpha^2) = \vartheta(\alpha^2) = 1$, so either $\xi_k(\alpha) = 1$ or $\xi_k(\alpha) = -1$. Similarly as before, it follows from $\Upsilon_{\Phi_0}(\alpha^2,\beta) = 0$ $(\forall\beta \notin \langle\alpha\rangle)$ that

$$\Phi_0 = \Phi_1 \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\xi\in\widehat{G},\xi(\alpha)=-1} k\cdot\mathcal{W}[\langle\alpha^2\rangle,\xi] \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\psi\in\widehat{G},\psi(\alpha)=1} l\cdot\mathcal{W}[\langle\alpha^2\rangle,\psi] \right). \tag{20}$$

Note, by comparing (19) and (20) that what we want to show is $l \geq n$. In order to prove this we have to consider the reciprocity condition for $\Upsilon_\Phi(\alpha^2,\alpha)$. It follows from (18) that $\Upsilon_\Psi(\alpha^2,\alpha) - \Upsilon_\Psi(\alpha,\alpha^2) = -2n[G:\langle\alpha\rangle]^2$. Then, the reciprocity of Φ implies that $\Upsilon_{\Phi_0}(\alpha^2,\alpha) - \Upsilon_{\Phi_0}(\alpha,\alpha^2) = \Upsilon_{\Phi_0}(\alpha^2,\alpha) = 2n[G:\langle\alpha\rangle]^2$. With the use of (20) and $\Upsilon_{\Phi_1}(\alpha^2,\alpha) = 0$ we get $\Upsilon_{\Phi_0}(\alpha^2,\alpha) = 2(l-k)[G:\langle\alpha\rangle]^2$. Since l and k are positive this implies $l \geq n$, which means that

$$\Phi = \Phi_2 \oplus n\cdot\mathcal{A}[\langle\alpha\rangle].$$

We may finish the proof , by showing (similarly as before) that Φ_2 is admissible. □

The above theorem proves the irreducibility of cyclic admissible coset actions as follows. Suppose, that in contrary $\mathcal{A}[\langle\alpha\rangle] = \Phi_1 \oplus \Phi_2$, where Φ_1 and Φ_2 are admissible. Then either Φ_1 or Φ_2 satisfies the conditions of the theorem, with $\langle\alpha\rangle$ as a maximal subgroup, therefore equals $\mathcal{A}[\langle\alpha\rangle]$. It also proves that the only irreducible admissible WPA-s among the cyclic WPA-s are the cyclic admissible coset WPA-s. The irreducibility of non-cyclic admissible coset WPA-s may be proven with a slight modification of the argument used in the proof.

As the most important application of Theorem 1, let us consider a completely degenerate cyclic quadratic group $(\mathbb{Z}_n,\vartheta)$. According to Theorem 1 its admissible WPA-s are of the form:

$$\Phi = \bigoplus_{l|n} m_l\mathcal{A}[H_l], \tag{21}$$

where we denoted by H_l the order l subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ (where l divides n).

The admissible coset actions in (16) depend on the completely degenerate quadratic form ϑ. This is determined by its value on any generator of $\mathbb{Z}_n$, let α denote any of them. If $\vartheta(\alpha) = 1$, i.e. α is an integer spin simple current, then ϑ is the trivial character. In this case the admissible coset WPA $\mathcal{A}[H_l]$ is of degree $(n/l)^2$. Note that the only irreducible containing the regular WPA R is $\mathcal{A}[1]$ (of degree n^2), which is Example 2 of Section 3.

The other possibility is $\vartheta(\alpha) = -1$, i.e. α is a half-integer spin simple current. This implies that α is of even order, $n = 2k$, and $ker\vartheta = H_k$. In that case the admissible coset action $\mathcal{A}[H_l]$ is of degree $(n/l)^2$ if $H_l < H_k = ker\vartheta$, i.e. if l divides k. However, if l does not divide k (which means that n/l is odd) the admissible coset WPA $\mathcal{A}[H_l]$ is of degree $3(n/l)^2$ (see (17)). The regular WPA still appears only in $\mathcal{A}[1]$, except if the quadratic group is $(\mathbb{Z}_2, \xi_1)$ when the order 3 admissible coset WPA $\mathcal{A}[\mathbb{Z}_2]$ contains it as well.

In the general case, when G is not a cyclic group, the admissible coset actions corresponding to its subgroups give only a part of its irreducible WPA-s. In that case we may apply the result obtained for cyclic groups if we restrict the quadratic group to any of its cyclic subgroups. Let us illustrate this on an example. Let (G, ϑ) be a (non-cyclic) fully degenerate quadratic group of order N and let the exponent of G be k. Then there exists an order k cyclic subgroup of G - let us denote any of these by H. The primaries of any RCFT whose simple current group is G have to contain a G orbit equivalent to the regular WPA $R = (G, \vartheta)$. What can we say about admissible WPA-s containing R in this general case? If we restrict the simple current group to $(H, \vartheta|_H)$, then R branches into $[G : H] = N/k$ copies of the regular WPA $R' = (H, \vartheta|_H)$. The only irreducible of H containing R' is the coset WPA $\mathcal{A}[1]$ (except if $(H, \vartheta|_H) = (\mathbb{Z}_2, \xi_1)$ - we shall deal with that case later), which is of order k^2. Therefore, any admissible WPA of (G, ϑ) which contains the regular action should contain, if restricted to $(H, \vartheta|_H)$, N/k copies of $\mathcal{A}[1]$, thus it has to be at least of degree Nk. Finally, let us consider the case $(H, \vartheta|_H) = (\mathbb{Z}_2, \xi_1)$ - then the exponent of G is 2, so $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^n$, but if $n \geq 2$ it is possible to choose H to be a subgroup on which ϑ is the trivial character. Therefore, the only exception is $G = (\mathbb{Z}_2, \xi_1)$.

5. Summary

Quadratic groups and their weighted permutation actions are the mathematical objects corresponding to simple current symmetries in RCFT. WPA-s of an arbitrary quadratic group can be classified as a direct sum of transitive coset actions. Those WPA-s that are associated to simple currents have to satisfy additional constraints: Galois- invariance, reciprocity and boundedness. To solutions of these conditions, i.e. admissible WPA-s of a given (completely degenerate) quadratic group, are generated by a finite number of irreducible WPA-s. In Section 3 we have considered some examples of irreducible WPA-s - the first was motivated by the Ising model, the second by holomorphic orbifold models of abelian groups. The generalization of these, given in Example 3, leads to a construction that extends a transitive coset WPA to an irreducible WPA - this way one obtains an irreducible WPA corresponding to each subgroup of the quadratic group (see (16)). Propositions 1 and 2 implied that the number of independent inequalities corresponding to the boundedness condition equals the number of (non-cyclic) subgroups of the quadratic group generated by two elements. This suggests that the simplest case is that of cyclic quadratic groups, or more generally, cyclic admissible WPA-s. Theorem 1 states that these are in fact generated by admissible coset WPA-s constructed in Example 3. As a special case, the admissible WPA-s of completely degenerate cyclic quadratic groups can be given in the form (21). This result can be applied whenever the simple current group of the RCFT contains an order n simple current of integral or half-integral spin to arrange the primaries of the theory in multiplets that in general consist of several simple current orbits. As we have discussed after (21) the length of these multiplets is k^2 (where k is any divisor of n) if the simple current is of integer spin or k is even, and $3k^2$otherwise. Our results can be applied even if the quadratic group is not cyclic, through considering branching rules to some cyclic subgroup. We illustrated this on the orbit corresponding to the vacuum, which led to a lower bound of the number of primaries Nk, where N is the order and k the exponent of the radical of the simple current group. Note that a degree N^2 admissible WPA always exists - it is the admissible coset WPA $\mathcal{A}[1]$.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank P. Bantay for discussions.

References

[1] Moore, G., and Seiberg, N.: Classical and quantum conformal field theory, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **123** (1989), 177.

[2] Fuchs, J., Runkel, I., and Schweigert, C.: TFT construction of RCFT correlators. I: Partition functions, *Nucl. Phys.* **B646** (2002), 353-497.

[3] Schellekens, A. N., and Yankielowicz, S.: Extended chiral algebras and modular invariant partition functions, *Nucl. Phys.* **B327** (1989), 673-703.

[4] Schellekens, A. N., and Yankielowicz, S.: Modular invariants from simple currents: An explicit proof, *Phys. Lett.* **B227** (1989), 387-391.

[5] Intriligator, K. A.: Bonus symmetry in conformal field theory, *Nucl. Phys.* **B332** (1990), 541-565.

[6] Bantay, P.: Simple current symmetries in RCFT, hep-th/0406097.

[7] Kreuzer, M., and Schellekens, A. N.: Simple currents versus orbifolds with discrete torsion: A Complete classification, *Nucl. Phys.* **B411** (1994), 97-121.

[8] Verlinde, E.: Fusion rules and modular transformation in 2-D conformal field theory, *Nucl. Phys.* **B300** (1988), 360-376.

[9] Halpern, M. B.: Direct approach to operator conformal constructions: From fermions to primary fields, *Annals Phys.* **194** (1989), 247-280.

[10] Fuchs, J., Schellekens, A. N., and Schweigert, C.: A matrix S for all simple current extensions, *Nucl. Phys.* **B473** (1996), 323-366.

[11] Bantay, P.: Simple current extensions and mapping class group representations, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A13** (1998), 199-208.

[12] Fuchs, J., Schweigert, C., and Walcher, J.: Projections in string theory and boundary states for Gepner models, *Nucl. Phys.* **B588** (2000), 110-148.

[13] Bantay, P.: Galois currents and the projective kernel in rational conformal field theory, *JHEP* **0303:025** (2003).

[14] Dijkgraaf, R., Vafa, C., Verlinde, E., and Verlinde, H.: The operator algebra of orbifold models, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **123** (1989), 485.

Department of Theoretical Physics, Roland Eötvös University, 1117 Budapest, Hungary

E-mail address: `vargat@elte.hu`